ETF Roundtable Capital Markets Institute June 2013

Professor Eric Kirzner
John H. Watson Chair in Value Investing
Rotman School of Management
University of Toronto

The Genesis of ETFs

Bachelier to Fama: 1900 to 1971

- Genesis: Modern Portfolio Theory and Efficient Markets Theory
 - Focus on portfolio structure
 - Active/passive debate?
- Efficient Markets Theory
 - Theory and Empirical results
 - Results predated theory: theory fit to results
 - "Beat the Market"?
 - Price= Value?
 - Price = Price?

Fair Game Model

- Market a Fair Game
- Expected ex-ante excess profits are zero
- Best estimate of next period's rate of return is...

$$E[r_{jt}/\phi_t] = r_{jt-1}$$



Efficient Market Theory Early Tests

- Robust evidence of weak form
- Generally robust evidence of semistrong
- Robust evidence of strong form (re fund managers, not insiders)
 - Mutual funds in the 60s and 70s
 - High fees
 - Relatively poor performance
 - Focus of active/passive debate
 - Theoretical basis for index products

The Genesis of ETFs: the Visionaries

- Burton Malkiel " A Random Walk down Wall Street,"
 1973
 - "What we need is a no-load, minimum management-fee mutual fund that simply buys the hundreds of stocks making up the broad stock-market averages and does no trading from security to security in an attempt to catch the winners. Whenever below-average performance on the part of any mutual fund is noticed, fund spokesmen are quick to point out "You can't buy the averages." It's time the public could
- Hakansson, Rubinstein, Leland
 - Supershares!

The Genesis of ETFs: Indexing Debuts

- 1971, the first index portfolio: Samsonite pension fund
- 1973, the Wells Fargo Bank the first large index fund
- 1975, Bogle, First Index Investment TrustBogle's fund: Vanguard 500 Index Fund, (\$125 billion in assets)
- 1978, Canada's first index fund: National Trust's
 TSE 300 Index Fund

The Genesis of ETFs: the Jurisdictional battle and product failure

- March, 1989: SEC approved PHLX, AMEX, CBOE stock basket products
 - IPUs to track S&P 500
 - Cash settled; no physical settlement
 - CIPS, EIPS and VIPS
- Legality challenged by Chicago Mercantile Exchange; Investment Company Institute
 - IPUs had characteristics similar to futures contracts; should be traded only on CFTC regulated "futures exchange" and not on SEC regulated exchange
 - Chicago Mercantile Exchange v. SEC 883 F.2d 537 (7th cir. 1989), cert.denied, 110 S.Ct.3214 (1990)
 - Courts defined IPU as futures contract and not equities because...
 - No ownership voting rights; future rather than present delivery; not transferable

The Genesis of ETFs: the Jurisdictional battle and product failure

Result was worst possible!

- Exchanges that wanted IPUs blocked from listing them!
- Exchanges that didn't want IPUs allowed to trade them!

The Genesis of ETFs: the Jurisdictional battle and product failure: Made in Canada Story?

- Canada: TSE introduced TIPS in March 1990
- Ability to exchange inkind at NAV keeps ETFs trading close to NAV
 - i60s; S&P/TSE
 - Reputation in IPUs
- US: Delayed IPUs in US for 4 years!
 - January 1993: Standard & Poor's Depositary Receipts: SPDR

